

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 62 (2006) 12071–12083

Enantioselective microbial hydrolysis of dissymmetrical cyclic carbonates with disubstitution

Masaki Nogawa,^a Satomi Sugawara,^a Rie Iizuka,^a Megumi Shimojo,^a Hiromichi Ohta,^b Minoru Hatanaka^c and Kazutsugu Matsumoto^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Meisei University, Hodokubo 2-1-1, Hino, Tokyo 191-8506, Japan
^bDepartment of Biosciences and Informatics, Keio University, Hivoshi 3, 14, L. Vokohama 223, 8522 ^bDepartment of Biosciences and Informatics, Keio University, Hivoshi 3-14-1, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

 $^{\circ}$ Department of Applied Chemistry and Biotechnology, University of Fukui, Bunkyo 3-9-1, Fukui 910-8507, Japan

Received 28 August 2006; revised 13 September 2006; accepted 19 September 2006 Available online 27 October 2006

Abstract—Enantioselective microbial hydrolysis of C_1 and C_2 dissymmetrical cyclic carbonates with disubstitution (methyl and another groups) has been developed. Pseudomonas diminuta (FU0090), a bacterium, efficiently catalyzes the hydrolysis of five-membered cyclic carbonates. While the trans-substrates are hydrolyzed with low enantioselectivities and/or reactivities, the microbe hydrolyzes the cissubstrates with very high enantioselectivities to afford the corresponding almost optically pure $anti-(2R,3S)$ -diols. On the other hand, six-membered trans-cyclic carbonates are enantioselectively hydrolyzed to afford the corresponding optically active syn-(2R,4R)-diols, although the hydrolysis of the cis-substrates gives racemic compounds. In all cases, the enzyme prefers the (R)-enantiomer for the carbon atom bearing a methyl group.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Optically active diols are important intermediates for the synthesis of natural products, and many synthetic procedures for such compounds have been developed. Although the asymmetric dihydroxylation of olefins is one of the most popular ways to prepare chiral $1,2$ $1,2$ -diols,¹ this method does not always satisfactorily work in terms of the enantioselectivity in some cases. For example, the oxidation of (Z)-disubstituted olefins is not a suitable tool for the preparation of optically active anti-1,2-diols.[2](#page-12-0) On the other hand, optically active 1,3-diols are not easily synthesized by direct preparation methods.

The use of enzymes in the preparation of such optically active compounds is especially attractive due to the remarkable stereoselectivity and its benign effect on the environment. Enzymatic hydrolysis of diacetates and esterification of diols are the representative biochemical methods to prepare such compounds.[3](#page-12-0) The reactions, however, produce a mixture of more than two compounds (diol, diacetate, and two monoacetates), which causes difficulty with the purification, and the almost examples have been limited to the reaction of $meso$ - and C_2 -symmetrical compounds. Recently, the kinetic resolution of cyclic carbonates with hydrolytic enzymes is one of the attractive methods for preparing optically active diols.[4](#page-12-0) We have already reported the enzyme-mediated hydrolysis of cyclic carbonates, and have accomplished the efficient preparation of various kinds of optically active diols.[5,6](#page-12-0) Commercially available porcine pancreas lipase (PPL, Type II from Sigma) catalyzes the hydrolysis of monosubstituted cyclic carbonates to afford the corresponding optically active $1,2$ - and $1,3$ -diols.^{[5](#page-12-0)} On the other hand, Pseudomonas diminuta (FU0090), which is a bacterium isolated from the soil and classified by NCIMB Japan Co. Ltd, hydrolyzes the C_2 -symmetrical five- and six-membered cyclic carbonates with a dimethyl group, and then optically active 2,3-butanediol and 2,4-pentanediol were obtained.^{[6a](#page-12-0)} This type of reaction proceeds irreversibly because the acyl moiety of the substrate leaves the reaction system as carbon dioxide. The enzyme, however, has a high substrate specificity for the side chain of the substrate, and the reaction of the substrate bearing a diethyl group was not hydrolyzed at all. During our studies on this microbial reaction, we observed that even C_1 - and C_2 -dissymmetrical disubstituted substrates could be enantioselectively hydrolyzed when one of the substituent was a methyl group. Herein, we reported the application of the microbial hydrolysis to various fiveand six-membered cyclic carbonates bearing methyl and another groups, and then prepared the corresponding optically active 1,2- and 1,3-diols with two chiral centers

Keywords: Cyclic carbonates; Enantioselective hydrolysis; Enzymes; Microbial reaction; Optically active diols.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +81 42 591 7360; e-mail: [mkazu@chem.](mailto:mkazu@chem.meisei-u.ac.jp) [meisei-u.ac.jp](mailto:mkazu@chem.meisei-u.ac.jp)

^{0040-4020/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2006.09.066

(Scheme 1). In particular, the optically pure anti-1,2-diols were obtained from the five-membered *cis*-substrates with high enantioselectivities.^{[6b](#page-12-0)}

2. Results and discussion

The diastereomeric *anti*- and *syn*-racemic diols, which were the precursors of cyclic carbonates, were readily synthesized. The compounds 1,2-diols are selectively prepared starting from (Z) - and (E) -olefins, respectively, as shown in Schemes 2 and 3. On the other hand, selective reduction of b-hydroxy ketones followed by separation with column chromatography on silica gel afforded anti- and syn-1,3 diols ([Scheme 4](#page-2-0)). In all cases, successive treatment of the diols with pyridine and bis(trichloromethyl)carbonate (triphosgene) resulted in the corresponding racemic substrates.

First, we selected the racemic five-membered cyclic carbonates, diastereomers of the cis- and trans-4-(3-benzyloxy)propyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-ones $((\pm)$ -4a and 4b,

respectively) as the representative substrates. After the reaction of (\pm) -4 (ca. 84 mg, 10 mM) with *P. diminuta* in 50 mL of glucose medium at 30° C, the bacterium catalyzed the hydrolysis of both substrates, and the corresponding anti- and syn-6-benzyloxy-2,3-diols (3a and 3b, respectively) were obtained, as expected [\(Scheme 5](#page-2-0)). The transform substrate $((\pm)$ -4b) was enantioselectively hydrolyzed to give the optically active $(2R,3R)$ -3b $([\alpha]_D^{22}$ +11.5 $(c 0.72, MeOH)$, 75% ee) in 23% yield and the remaining $(4S, 5S)$ -4b $([\alpha]_D^{22}$ -10.0 (c 0.35, MeOH), 54% ee) in 44% yield. In this case, the enzyme preferentially hydrolyzed the $(4R, 5R)$ -form in the same stereoselective manner as in the case of the C_2 -symmetrical (\pm) -trans-4,5-dimethyl-1,3dioxolan-2-one $(38b)$ bearing a dimethyl group.^{[6a](#page-12-0)} The hydrolysis, however, proceeded with moderate enantioselectivity, and the conversion and E value of the reaction for 48 h were 0.42 and 12, respectively,^{[7](#page-12-0)} while 38b was hydrolyzed with high enantioselectivity (E value $=70$). To determine the stereochemistry of the resulting $(2R,3R)$ -3b, the sign of the optical rotation was compared with that of the authentic sample (2S,3S)-3b $([\alpha]_D^{27}$ -13.8 (c 1.16, MeOH), 77% ee), which was transformed from (E) -2b by the asymmetric dihydroxylation method with AD-mix- α and $CH_3SO_2NH_2$ in t-BuOH/H₂O [\(Scheme 6\)](#page-3-0).^{[1](#page-12-0)}

On the other hand, the enantioselectivity of the hydrolysis of the *cis*-substrate $((\pm)$ -4a) was almost perfect. The reaction of (\pm) -4a for 48 h produced the remaining cyclic carbonate (4R,5S)-4a ($[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +10.9 (c 1.68, MeOH), 97% ee) in 43% yield and the resulting optically pure diol $(2R,3S)$ -3a $([\alpha]_D^{25}$ -14.0 (c 2.54, MeOH)) in 40% yield (conv.=0.49, E value³=>200). Although, we have already reported the hydrolysis of cis-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (38a; the meso-cyclic carbonate bearing a dimethyl group) as the C_1 -symmetrical substrate, ^{[6a](#page-12-0)} this is the first

Scheme 2. (i) BnBr, NaH/THF, reflux ((E)-2b, 98%); (ii) cat. OsO₄, NMO/acetone/H₂O, rt (3a, 86% from 1a; 3b, 63%; 8a, 49%; 8b, 87%; 9a, 82%; 10a, 55%); (iii) triphosgene, Py/CH₂Cl₂, $-78\rightarrow 0$ °C (4a, 92%; 4b, 96%; 11a, 78%; 11b, 85%; 12a, 60%; 13a, 97%).

Scheme 3. (i) Morpholine, reflux (69%); (ii) MPMCl, NaH/THF, rt (87%); (iii) $CH_2=CHCH_2CH_2MgBr/THF$, rt (74%); (iv) ZnBH₄/Et₂O (48%); (v) cat. p-TsOH/MeOH (76%); (vi) triphosgene, Py/CH₂Cl₂, $-78\rightarrow 0$ °C (51%).

Scheme 4. (i) cat. p-TsOH, ethylene glycol/benzene, reflux (73%); (ii) LiAlH₄/THF, rt (91%); (iii) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C -> rt (75%); (iv) CH2]CHCH2MgBr/THF, rt (24, 88%); (v) propylmagnesium bromide/THF, rt (25, 76%); (vi) 2 M HCl aq/THF (26, 71%; 27, 79%); (vii) MOMCl, i -Pr₂NH/CH₂Cl₂ (89%); (viii) BH₃ THF/THF then 2 M NaOH aq, H₂O₂ (90%); (ix) BnBr, NaH/THF (88%); (x) 2 M HCl aq/THF (71%); (xi) *Procedure A*, NaBH4/MeOH (32a (56%)+32b (28%); 33a (64%)+33b (32%); 34a (50%)+34b (34%)); (xii) Procedure B, MeNB(OAc)3H/AcOH/CH3CN (32a (24%)+32b (73%) ; 33a (16%)+33b (78%); 34a (37%)+34b (56%)); (xiii) triphosgene, Py/CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C \rightarrow rt (35a, 78%; 35b, 55%; 36a, 30%; 36b, 42%; 37a, 79%; 37b, 76%).

example of the enantioselective hydrolysis of the cisdisubstituted carbonates. The absolute configuration of the anti-diol was determined by comparing the optical rotation with that of the optically active authentic $(2S,3R)$ -3a $([\alpha]_D^{25}$ +12.9 (c 1.34, MeOH)), which was prepared from ethyl (S)-lactate in seven steps (Scheme 7). In the case of anti-1,2-diol, the asymmetric dihydroxylation of (Z) -2a with $AD-mix-\alpha$ proceeded with very low enantioselectivity to give (2R,3S)-3a in only 18% ee. These show that the microbial hydrolysis apparently has some advantage for the preparation of optically active anti-1,2-diol with high ee.

Scheme 7. (i) DHP, p-TsOH/CH₂Cl₂ (64%); (ii) BH₃ THF/THF then 2 M NaOH aq, H₂O₂ (62%); (iii) BnBr, NaH/THF (81%); (iv) p-TsOH/MeOH (38%).

The difference in the reactivity between the diastereomers is noticeably observed during the hydrolysis of the substrates bearing a butyl group $((\pm)$ -11a and 11b) as a substituent (Scheme 8). The hydrolysis of the *cis*-substrate (\pm) -11a smoothly proceeded to give optically active compounds, $(4R, 5S)$ -11a (92% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +14.6 (c 1.04, MeOH)) in 31% yield and $(2R,3S)$ -8a $(93\% \text{ ee}, [\alpha]_D^{23} -22.0 \text{ (c 0.82,}$ MeOH)) in 40% yields (reaction for 48 h; conv.=0.50, E value=91). Interestingly, in the case of the *trans*-isomer (\pm) -11b, the enzyme scarcely catalyzed the substrate at all.

Scheme 8.

Table 1. Microbial hydrolysis of several five-membered (\pm) -cis-cyclic carbonates^a

Then, we applied the microbial reaction to several fivemembered cis-cyclic carbonates (Scheme 9, Table 1). As expected, all the substrates were hydrolyzed with high enantioselectivity. The reaction of the substrate bearing an unsaturated substituent (R=3-butenyl, (\pm) -19a, entry 3) gave a result similar to that of (\pm) -11a, which has the corresponding saturated group. The bacterium smoothly catalyzed the hydrolysis of (\pm) -19a for 48 h to give the optically active $(4R,5S)$ -19a $(63\%$ ee) and $(2R,3S)$ -18a $(95\%$ ee) in 46 and 42% yields, respectively (conv.=0.40, E value=75). The optically active 18a is an important precursor for the synthe-sis of a biologically active deoxysugar, p-amicetose.^{[8](#page-12-0)} On the other hand, the substrates bearing a longer chain, (\pm) -12a $(R=$ pentyl, entry 1) and 13a $(R=$ heptyl, entry 2) showed excellent enantioselectivities, although the reactivities were low. For the reactions going for 96 h, the resulting (2R,3S)-9a and 10a were obtained in their optically pure forms, and the E values were over 200.

Scheme 9.

Next, our attention focused on the ring size of the substrate, and we tried the reaction of racemic six-membered cyclic carbonates ([Scheme 10](#page-4-0), [Table 2](#page-4-0)). As expected, P. diminuta catalyzed the hydrolysis of all the substrates examined to afford the corresponding 1,3-diols. The stereoselective manner, however, was quite different from that of the fivemembered substrates. In the case of the trans-substrate bearing an allyl group (35b, entry 1), the substrate was smoothly hydrolyzed with good enantioselectivity to give the optically active remaining (4S,6S)-35b (72% ee) in 39% yield and the resulting $(2R, 4R)$ -32b $(84\% \text{ ee}, [\alpha]_D^{21}$ -29.7 (c 0.66, CHCl₃)) in 26% yield (reaction for 48 h; conv.=0.46, E value=25). The absolute configuration of the diol $32b$ was determined by comparing the optical rotation with that reported; $(2R, 4R) - 32b$ ([9](#page-12-0)6% ee), lit.^9 [α] R^2] -34.1 (c 1.13, CHCl₃). The reaction for 72 h (entry 2) gave optically pure (4S,6S)-35b in 27% yield. It is noteworthy that the enzyme preferentially catalyzes the hydrolysis of (R)-enantiomer at the asymmetric center bearing a methyl group as

^a The reaction was performed using 10 mM of the substrate.
^b Calculated by ee(carbonate)/[ee(carbonate)+ee(diol)].
^c Calculated by ln[(1-conv.)(1-ee(carbonate))]/ln[(1-conv.)(1+ee(carbonate))].
^d [αI_D^{28} +6.10

 $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ +6.10 (c 1.08, MeOH).

 e [α] $^{28}_{12}$ – 20.4 (c 0.89, MeOH).
 f [α] $^{29}_{12}$ + 3.58 (c 0.79, MeOH).

 $\frac{25}{2}$ (α) $\frac{129}{10}$ +3.58 (c 0.79, MeOH).
 $\frac{8}{10}$ (α) $\frac{124}{10}$ –10.1 (c 0.39, MeOH) $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{24}$ -10.1 (c 0.39, MeOH).
 $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{23}$ +7.93 (c 1.20, MeOH).

 $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \beta & 2 \\ 0 & \beta & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ +7.93 (c 1.20, MeOH).

well as that in the case of the five-membered substrates. Changing the substituent from allyl to propyl (36b, entry 3) and 3-benzyloxypropyl (37b, entry 4) decreased the reactivity and the enantioselectivity. For example, the reaction of **36b** for 48 h gave the optically active $(2R, 4R)$ -33b in 84% ee, but the conversion and E value were only 0.10 and 13, respectively. The longer reaction time scarcely improved the conversion at all. Interestingly, although the enzyme accelerated the hydrolysis of the cis-substrates and the reactions for 48 h gave the remaining carbonates (35a, 37%; 36a, 48%; 37a, 47%) and the corresponding diols (32a, 31%; 33a, 28%; 34a, 18%), all of the products were almost racemates.

Scheme 10.

Based on all of our observations, we can formulate an empirical rule for predicting the enantioselectivity in this micro-bial reaction.^{[10](#page-12-0)} For the rigid five-membered substrates, the active site model is illustrated in Figure 1. First, a methyl group at C-5 position of the substrates is necessary for the enantioselective reaction because we have also found that monosubstituted cyclic carbonates $(R^1=H)$, such as 4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (43) and 4-(2-benzyloxy)ethyl-1,3 dioxolan-2-one (44) in Figure 2, are smoothly hydrolyzed without enantioselectivity. Second, the enzyme prefers (5R)-substrates in all cases. These results indicate that the enzyme apparently distinguishes the stereochemistry at the asymmetric center substituted with a methyl group and the cis -(5R)-substrate is most suitable for the active site of the enzyme $(R^1=Me, R^2=alkyl, R^3=H)$. In the case of the fast reactive enantiomer, the methyl group would locate at the S (small)-pocket, with hydrogen in *H*-site, and with \mathbb{R}^2 group in L (large)-pocket. In the reaction of *trans*-substrates, the elongation of the substituent (R^3) at the C-4 position decreases both the reactivity and the enantioselectivity. Because the introduction of a benzyloxy group on the side chain could improve the reactivity, the oxygen atom of the substrates could play an important role for the interaction between the substrates and the enzyme.

On the other hand, for the six-membered trans-cyclic carbonates, R group at the pseudo-equatorial position could turn to L-pocket when the pseudo-axial methyl group locates

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

at the S-pocket (Fig. 3). This indicates that the $trans-(6R)$ substrate might be a most preferable isomer. But, it is difficult to understand the stereoselective mode because the six-membered ring is very flexible in comparison with the five-membered ring. Consequently, the enantio- and diastereoselectivity of the hydrolysis was lower than those in the case of the five-membered substrates.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have established the microbial enantioselective hydrolysis of five- and six-membered cyclic carbonates bearing two substituents, which are methyl and another groups, as a new route to optically active diols. In particular, this is the first report for the enantioselective hydrolysis of five-membered cis-cyclic carbonates, which are favorably hydrolyzed with high enantioselectivity to give the corresponding optically pure anti-1,2-diols. Furthermore, we can postulate the active site model for the hydrolase through the microbial reaction for various substrates.

Table 2. Microbial hydrolysis of several six-membered (\pm) -trans-cyclic carbonates^a

Entry	R	Time (h)	Carbonate			Diol			Conv $^{\rm b}$	E^c
				Yield $(\%)$	ee $(\%)$		Yield $(\%)$	ee $(\%)$		
	Allyl	48	35 _b	39	72	32 _b	26	84	0.46	25
\sim ∠	Allyl	72	35 _b	27	$>99^{\rm d}$	32 _b	29	61	0.62	>20
3	Propyl	48	36b	80	10	33 _b	16	84 ^e	0.10	
4	$-(CH2)3OBn$	48	37 _b	71	O	34b		84	0.07	$\overline{1}$

^a The reaction was performed using 10 mM of the substrate.
^b Calculated by ee(carbonate)/[ee(carbonate)+ee(diol)].
c Calculated by ln[(1-conv.)(1-ee(carbonate))]/ln[(1-conv.)(1+ee(carbonate))].

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{d} \\ \text{[}\alpha\text{]}_D^{20} \text{--} 75.7 \text{ (}c \text{ 1.00, CHCl}_3\text{).} \\ \text{[}\alpha\text{]}_D^{22} \text{--} 8.91 \text{ (}c \text{ 0.63, CHCl}_3\text{).} \end{array}$

4. Experimental

4.1. General

 1 H (300 or 500 MHz) and 13 C (75 or 125 MHz) NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL JNM AL-300 and α -500 with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. IR spectra were recorded with Shimadzu FTIR-8300 and IR Prestige-21 spectrometers. Mass spectra were obtained with a JEOL EI/FAB mate BU25 Instrument by the EI method. Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-1000 polarimeter. HPLC data were obtained on Shimadzu LC-10AD_{VP}, SPD-10A_{VP}, and sic 480II data station (System Instruments Inc.). GLC data were taken on GL Sciences GC 353B and sic 480II data station (System Instruments Inc.). E. Merck Kieselgel 60 F_{254} Art. 5715 was used for analytical TLC. Preparative TLC was performed on E. Merck Kieselgel 60 F_{254} Art. 5744. Column chromatography was performed with Silica Gel 60N (63–210 mm, Kanto Chemical Co. Inc.). Melting points were obtained on a Yanako melting point apparatus and were not corrected. All other chemicals were also obtained from commercial sources.

4.2. Preparation of 1,2-diols

4.2.1. (2RS,3SR)-(6-Benzyloxy)hexane-2,3-diol ((±)-3a (*anti*)). Under an argon atmosphere, to a suspension of NaH (60% in oil, 900 mg, 22 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added a solution of (Z) -4-hexen-1-ol $(1a, 2.0 g, 20 mmol)$ in THF (15 mL) and benzyl bromide (2.9 mL, 24 mmol) at 0° C. The mixture was stirred for 6 h under reflux, and the reaction was quenched with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt= $2/1$) to give (Z)-(6-benzyloxy)-2-hexene $(2a)$ as a colorless oil $(3.7 g)$.

To a solution of (Z) -2a $(3.7 g, 19.6 mmol)$ in acetone $(7 mL)$ and H_2O (3 mL) were added 4-methylmorpholine N-oxide $(10.0 \text{ g}, 80 \text{ mmol})$, t -BuOH (0.3 mL) , and a catalytic amount of OsO4, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After addition of $NaS₂O₄$, stirring for 30 min, and filtration through a Celite pad, the products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 1/1$) to give (\pm) -3a as a colorless oil (3.9 g, 86% from 1a); IR (neat) $3399, 2930, 1714, 1454, 1277, 1099, 714 cm⁻¹;$ ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 1.15$ (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.41–1.85 (m, 4H), 3.50–3.82 (m, 4H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 7.28–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =17.0, 26.5, 28.9, 70.3, 70.5, 73.1, 74.7, 127.7, 128.4, 129.5, 137.9; MS m/z (rel intensities) 224 (M⁺ , 5.6%), 206 (2.5), 107 (100), 91 (100); HRMS m/z 224.1419 (224.1413 calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$, M⁺).

4.2.2. (2RS,3RS)-(6-Benzyloxy)hexane-2,3-diol ((±)-3b (syn)). According to the procedure for the preparation method described above, (E) -4-hexen-1-ol (1b, 1.02 g, 10.2 mmol) was converted to (E) -(6-benzyloxy)-2-hexene

(2b, 1.90 g, 98%) as a colorless oil. Then, (E) -2b (56.5 mg, 0.30 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -3b as a colorless oil (41.7 mg, 63%); IR (neat) 3399, 2926, 2857, 1454, 1098, $1072, 737, 698$ cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.18 $(d, J=6.0 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 1.45-1.52 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 1.62 \text{ (br s, 2H)},$ 1.64–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.79 (td, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.34 (ddd, J_1 =3.0 Hz, J_2 =6.5 Hz, J_3 =9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (t, J= 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.56–3.62 (m, 1H), 4.23 (s, 2H), 7.26–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =19.3, 26.0, 30.9, 70.5, 70.9, 73.2, 75.8, 127.8, 128.5, 137.9; MS m/z(rel intensities) 224 (M⁺, 1.7%), 206 (0.6), 107 (34), 91 (100); HRMS m/z 224.1412 (224.1413 calcd for $C_6H_{14}O_2$, M⁺).

4.2.3. (2RS,3SR)-Heptane-2,3-diol ((±)-8a (anti)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 3a described above, (Z) -2-heptene (5a, 564 mg, 5.75 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -8a as a colorless oil (375 mg, 49%); IR $(n$ eat) 3379, 2932, 1462, 1379, 1055, 984, 737 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.92 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.14 $(d, J=6.5 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 1.24-1.43 \text{ (m, 5H)}, 1.43-1.53 \text{ (m, 1H)},$ 2.39 (br s, 2H), 3.54–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.79 (qd, $J_1=3.0$ Hz, $J_2=6.5$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta=14.0$, 16.5, 22.7, 28.2, 31.4, 70.4, 74.9; MS m/z (rel intensities) 132 (M⁺ , 5.6%), 113 (37), 104 (28), 83 (46), 71 (90), 57 (100); HRMS m/z 132.1137 (132.1150 calcd for C₇H₁₆O₂, M^+).

4.2.4. $(2RS, 3RS)$ -Heptane-2,3-diol $((\pm)$ -8b (syn)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 3a described above, (E) -2-heptene (5b, 1.01 g, 10.4 mmol) was converted to $((\pm)$ -8b as a colorless oil $(1.18 \text{ g}, 87\%)$; IR (neat) 3370, 2957, 2934, 2872, 1458, 1375, 1057 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.92 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, J= 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.33–1.52 (m, 6H), 2.29 (br s, 2H), 3.31–3.36 (m, 1H), 3.60 (qd, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.0$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDC1}_3)$ $\delta = 14.0, 19.5, 22.7, 27.7, 33.1, 70.9,$ 76.2; MS m/z (rel intensities) 132 (M⁺, 12%), 114 (11), 107 (31), 91 (100), 71 (51); HRMS m/z 132.1151 (132.1150 calcd for $C_7H_{16}O_2$, M⁺).

4.2.5. (2RS,3SR)-Octane-2,3-diol ((±)-9a (anti)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 3a described above, (Z)-2-octene (6a, 2.02 g, 18.1 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -9a as a colorless oil $(2.15 \text{ g}, 82\%)$; IR (neat) 3285, 2955, 2940, 2916, 2857, 1485, 1069, 1055 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 0.90$ (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J= 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.25–1.36 (m, 5H), 1.37–1.43 (m, 2H), 1.46– 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.70 (br s, 1H), 1.98 (br s, 1H), 3.61–3.64 $(m, 1H), 3.77-3.83$ $(m, 1H);$ ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.0, 16.6, 22.6, 25.7, 31.7, 31.9, 70.4, 74.9; MS m/z (rel intensities) 146 (M+, 6.9%), 128 (18), 110 (16), 101 (100), 99 (42), 85 (39); HRMS m/z 146.1361 (146.1307 calcd for $C_8H_{18}O_2$, M⁺).

4.2.6. (2RS,3SR)-Decane-2,3-diol ((±)-10a (anti)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 3a described above, (Z) -2-decene (7a, 656 mg, 4.68 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -10a as a colorless oil (446 mg, 55%); IR (neat) 3293, 2955, 2916, 2853, 1487, 1468, 1069 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 0.88$ (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J= 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.21–1.51 (m, 12H), 1.82 (br s, 2H), 3.59– 3.64 (m, 1H), 3.76–3.83 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.1, 16.6, 22.6, 26.0, 29.2, 29.6, 31.8, 70.4,

74.9; MS m/z (rel intensities) 174 (M⁺, 7.6%), 156 (4.8), 138 (6.3), 129 (100), 113 (14), 99 (15); HRMS m/z 174.1625 $(174.1620 \text{ calcd for } C_{10}H_{22}O_2, M^+).$

4.2.7. (2RS,3SR)-6-Heptene-2,3-diol ((±)-18a (anti)). To ethyl (\pm) -lactate (10.0 g, 0.08 mol) was added morpholine (14.8 g, 0.17 mol), and the mixture was stirred overnight under reflux. After removal of the excess morpholine in vacuo, the residue was purified by distillation under reduced pressure to afford (\pm) -2-hydroxy-1-morpholinopropan-1-one (14) as a colorless oil $(9.35 \text{ g}, 69\%)$; bp 109–110 °C/ 3 mmHg; IR (neat) 3420, 2858, 2341, 1643, 1439, 1273, 1115, 845, 571 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.33 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 3.38–3.76 (m, 8H), 3.87 (br s, 1H), 4.45 (q, J=6.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =21.1, 42.6, 45.2, 63.9, 66.2, 66.6, 173.6.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a suspension of NaH (553 mg, 13.8 mmol, 60% in oil) in THF (20 mL) was added a solution of (\pm) -14 (2.0 g, 12.6 mmol) in THF (10 mL) and p-methoxybenzyl chloride (1.9 mL, 2.2 g, 13.8 mmol) at 0° C. After the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature, the reaction was stopped with 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). The products were extracted with AcOEt (\times 3), and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt=1/1) to give (\pm) -2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-1-morpholinopropan-1-one (15) as a colorless oil (3.06 g, 87%); IR (neat) 2961, 2903, 2857, 1647, 1514, 1464, 1437, 1248 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.43 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 3.60–3.67 (m, 8H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.30 (q, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, $J=8.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.25 (dd, J_1 =2.5 Hz, J_2 =8.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 17.8, 42.4, 45.6, 55.2, 66.7, 67.0, 70.8, 75.0, 113.8,$ 129.4, 129.5, 159.4, 170.7.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of (\pm) -15 (4.6 g, 16.5 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added 3-butenylmagnesium bromide (90 mL, 2.2 M in THF) at 0° C and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After the reaction was stopped with satd NH4Cl aqueous solution, the products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt= $10/1$) to give (\pm) -2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-6-hepten-3-one (16) as a colorless oil (3.03 g, 74%); IR (neat) 2978, 2936, 2837, 1717, 1514, 1250, 1111 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.32 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 2.28–2.35 (m, 2H), 2.63 (td, J_1 =7.5 Hz, J_2 =17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (td, J_1 = 7.5 Hz, J_2 =17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.91 (q, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.91–5.07 (m, 2H), 5.81 (tdd, $J_1=6.5$ Hz, $J_2=10.5$ Hz, J_3 =17.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86–6.91 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.29 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =17.4, 27.2, 36.5, 55.3, 71.6, 80.3, 113.9, 115.2, 129.4, 129.6, 137.2, 159.4, 212.2.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of (\pm) -16 (2.0 g, 8.06 mmol) in Et₂O (30 mL) was slowly added $Zn(BH_4)$ ₂ (120 mL, ca. 0.13 M in Et₂O) at -30 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. The reaction was quenched with 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). The products were extracted with AcOEt $(x4)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 10/1$) to give (2RS,3SR)-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-6-hepten-3-one $((\pm)$ -17) as a colorless oil (962 mg, 48%). The diastereoselectivity was not determined, but the amount of the minor isomer was very small; IR (neat) 3447, 2928, 2855, 1613, 1514, 1248, 1080, 1036 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.15 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.41–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.63 (br s, 1H), 2.03–2.18 (m, 1H), 2.20–2.34 (m, 1H), 3.49 (dq, J_1 =3.5 Hz, J_2 =6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.69–3.78 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.44 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.94–5.07 (m, 2H), 5.83 (tdd, $J_1=6.5$ Hz, $J_2=$ 10.5 Hz, J_3 =17.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85–6.90 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.28 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.2, 22.6, 31.6, 55.3, 60.4, 70.3, 72.4, 113.8, 114.8, 129.2, 138.4, 157.8.

To a solution of (\pm) -17 (195 mg, 0.78 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL) was added a catalytic amount of p-TsOH at room temperature, and stirred overnight. The reaction was stopped with satd $NAHCO₃$ aqueous solution, and the products were extracted with AcOEt (\times 3), and dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ AcOEt=5/1) to give (\pm) -18a (*anti*) as a colorless oil (77.4 mg, 76%); IR (neat) 3377, 3077, 2974, 2926, 2855, 1641, 1449, 1065, 910 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.16 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.52 (dt, J₁=6.5 Hz, J₂= 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.96 (br s, 2H), 2.04–2.30 (m, 2H), 3.64 (dt, $J_1=3.5$ Hz, $J_2=6.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.81 (qd, $J_1=3.5$ Hz, $J_2=6.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.07 (tdd, $J_1=J_2=1.5$ Hz, $J_3=17.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.85 (tdd, $J_1=6.5$ Hz, $J_2=10.5$ Hz, $J_3=17.0$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =16.7, 30.2, 30.7, 70.4, 74.4, 115.1, 138.3; MS m/z (rel intensities) 130 (M⁺, 2.0%), 112 (6.1), 94 (4.4), 85 (8.4), 83 (15), 73 (13); HRMS m/z 130.0946 (130.0994 calcd for $C_7H_{14}O_2$, M⁺).

4.3. Preparation of 1,3-diols

4.3.1. (2RS,4SR)- and (2RS,4RS)-6-Heptene-2,4-diol ((±)- 32a (syn) and (\pm) -32b (anti)). To a solution of ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (20, 30.0 g, 231 mmol) in benzene (60 mL) were added a solution of ethylene glycol (42.9 g, 691.6 mmol) in benzene (60 mL) and a catalytic amount of p -TsOH at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 20 h under reflux, the reaction was stopped with satd $NAHCO₃$ aqueous solution at 0° C. The organic layer was washed with satd NaHCO₃ aqueous solution $(\times 3)$ and brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by distillation to give ethyl (2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)acetate (21) as a colorless oil (29.4 g, 73%); bp 90-120 °C (23 mmHg); IR (neat) 3468, 2889, 1744, 1377, 1225, 1049 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.27 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 2H), 3.99 (s, 4H), 4.16 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ =14.2, 24.5, 44.2, 60.5, 64.8, 107.6.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (15.0 g, 86.2 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was added a solution of 21 (3.30 g, 86.2 mmol) in THF (90 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched slowly

with H₂O (3.3 mL), 15% NaOH (3.3 mL), H₂O (6.6 mL) at 0° C, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. After filtration through a Celite pad and evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by distillation to give 2-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethanol (22) as a colorless oil (10.3 g, 91%); bp 100–114 °C (24 mmHg); IR (neat) 3383, 1651, 1381, 1150, 1016 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.37 (s, 3H), 1.95 (t, J=5.5 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, $J=5.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dt, $J_1=J_2=5.5$ Hz, 2H), 4.0 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =23.8, 40.3, 59.0, 64.5, 110.0.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of oxalyl chloride $(5.77 \text{ g}, 45.5 \text{ mmol})$ in $CH_2Cl_2 (30 \text{ mL})$ was added a solution of DMSO (7.09 g, 90.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) at -78 °C. After 5 min, a solution of 22 (5.01 g, 37.9 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added to the mixture at -78 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. After an addition of triethylamine (13.8 g, 136.4 mmol) to the solution at -78 °C, the mixture was warmed up to 0 °C. The reaction was stopped with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 6/1 \rightarrow 5/1 \rightarrow 3/1$ to give 3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)butanal (23) as a colorless oil $(3.71 \text{ g}, 75\%)$; IR (neat) 1715, 1418, 1385, 1360, 1132, 1047 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 1.43$ (s, 3H), 2.72 (d, J=3.0 Hz, 2H), 3.93–4.07 (m, 4H), 9.76 (t, J=3.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 25.0, 52.5, 64.8, 107.6, 200.2$.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of 23 (610 mg, 4.69 mmol) in THF (80 mL) was added a solution of allylmagnesium bromide (9.38 mL, 1.0 M THF solution) at 0° C. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, the reaction was stopped with satd NH₄Cl aqueous solution at 0° C. The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt=5/1) to give (\pm) -2-methyl-2-(2hydroxy-4-penten)-1,3-dioxolane (24) as a colorless oil (700 mg, 88%); IR (neat) 2982, 1641, 1217, 1107, 1045 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.37 (s, 3H), 1.70–1.95 (m, 2H), 2.10–2.35 (m, 2H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.92– 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.98–4.03 (s, 4H), 5.02–5.18 (m, 2H), 5.85 (tdd, J_1 =7.0 Hz, J_2 =10 Hz, J_3 =17 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 24.1, 41.7, 44.2, 64.3, 64.7, 67.5,$ 110.4, 117.3, 134.8.

To a solution of (\pm) -24 (1.00 g, 5.83 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added a solution of 2 M HCl aq (20 mL) at 0° C. The mixture was stirred for 6 h and the reaction was stopped with H₂O at 0° C. The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt=5/1) to give (\pm) -4-hydroxy-6-hepten-2-one (26) as a colorless oil $(530 \text{ mg}, 71\%)$; IR $(neat)$ 3429, 2924, 1709, 1420, 1167, 997 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 2.18$ (s, 3H), 2.20–2.33 (m, 2H), 2.58 (dd, $J_1=8.5$ Hz, $J_2=17.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, $J_1=4.0$ Hz,

 J_2 =17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (br s, 1H), 4.05–4.20 (m, 1H), 5.02– 5.20 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.90 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =30.7, 40.8, 49.1, 66.9, 118.0, 134.1, 209.6.

Procedure A: to a solution of (\pm) -26 (810 mg, 6.33 mmol) in MeOH (40 mL) was added sodium borohydride (479 mg, 12.7 mmol) at 0° C. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, the reaction was stopped with brine at 0 °C. The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt=3/1) to give (\pm) -32a (syn) and (\pm) -32b (*anti*) as colorless oils (32a, 446 mg, 56%; 32b, 223 mg, 28%).

Procedure B: under an argon atmosphere, to $Me₄NBH₄$ (1.07 g, 12.0 mol) were slowly added AcOH (4 mL) at 0° C and CH₃CN (11 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. After the addition of (\pm) -26 (699 mg, 5.46 mmol) in CH₃CN (10 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C and stirring for 3 h, the reaction was quenched with 0.5 M $C_4H_4KNaO_6$ aqueous solution (10 mL). The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with satd NaHCO₃ aqueous solution, brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt=3/1) to give (\pm) -32a (syn) and (\pm) -32b (*anti*) as colorless oils (32a, 172 mg, 24%; 32b, 516 mg, 73%).

Compound (\pm) -32a (syn): IR (neat) 3339, 3077, 2969, 2932, $1641, 1418, 1375, 1325$ cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.21 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.51 (td, J₁=10.0 Hz, J₂= 14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.61 (td, J_1 =2.5 Hz, J_2 =14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.17– 2.25 (m, 2H), 3.10 (br s, 1H), 3.29 (br s, 1H), 3.83–3.97 (m, 1H), 3.98–4.17 (m, 1H), 5.10–5.20 (m, 2H), 5.76–5.88 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =24.0, 42.6, 44.1, 68.9, 71.8, 118.3, 134.2; MS m/z (rel intensities) 131 (M⁺ +H, 11%), 112 (36), 94 (41), 89 (100), 87 (69), 73 (28); HRMS m/z 131.1060 (131.1072 calcd for C₇H₁₅O₂, M^+ +H $).$

Compound (\pm) -32b (*anti*): IR (neat) 3368, 3077, 2970, 2932, 1641, 1412, 1375, 1350 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.24 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.62 (dd, J₁=J₂=6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.18–2.32 (m, 2H), 2.64 (br s, 2H), 4.00 (tt, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.16 (tq, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.05– 5.20 (m, 2H), $5.74-5.90$ (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =23.5, 41.9, 43.5, 65.4, 68.1, 118.3, 134.6; MS m/z (rel intensities) 130 (M⁺, 5.5%), 112 (20), 94 (13), 89 (11), 85 (54), 71 (95); HRMS m/z 130.0995 (130.0994 calcd for $C_7H_{14}O_2$, M⁺).

4.3.2. $(2RS, 4SR)$ - and $(2RS, 4RS)$ -heptane-2,4-diol $((\pm)$ -33a (syn) and (\pm) -33b (anti)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 24 described above, the aldehyde 23 $(1.15 \text{ g}, 8.82 \text{ mmol})$ was converted to (\pm) -1- $(2$ -methyl-1,3dioxolan-2-yl)-2-pentanol (25) as a colorless oil (1.17 g, 76%); IR (neat) 3526, 2957, 2934, 2874, 1377, 1256, 1219, 1044 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.93 $(t, J=7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3H), 1.22-1.60 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 1.37 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.76$ (dd, $J_1=9.0$ Hz, $J_2=14.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.82 (dd, $J_1=2.0$ Hz, $J_2=14.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 3.85–3.95 (m, 1H),

3.95–4.06 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.1, 18.6, 24.1, 39.5, 44.8, 64.2, 64.7, 67.7, 110.4.

According to the procedure for the preparation of 26 described above, 25 (602 mg, 3.46 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -4-hydroxy-2-heptanone (27) as a colorless oil (356 mg, 79%); IR (neat) 3441, 2959, 2932, 2874, 1713, 1418, 1362, 1167 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.93 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.57 (m, 4H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.54 (dd, $J_1=9.0$ Hz, $J_2=17.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, J_1 =3.0 Hz, J_2 =17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (br s, 1H), 3.90–4.10 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =13.9, 18.6, 30.7, 38.5, 49.9, 67.3, 210.0.

Procedure A: according to the procedure for the preparation of 32 described above, (\pm) -27 (645 mg, 4.96 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -33a (syn) and (\pm) -33b (*anti*) as colorless oils (33a, 420 mg, 64%; 33b, 210 mg, 32%).

Procedure B: according to the procedure for the preparation of 32 described above, (\pm) -27 (566 mg, 4.35 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -33a (syn) and (\pm) -33b (*anti*) as colorless oils (33a, 89.0 mg, 16%; 33b, 445 mg, 78%).

Compound 33a (syn): IR (neat) 3349, 2961, 2932, 2872, 1418, 1375, 1323 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.93 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.29– 1.62 (m, 6H), 2.97 (br s, 2H), 3.86–3.88 (m, 1H), 4.01– 4.10 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.0, 18.4, 24.2, 40.4, 44.6, 69.2, 72.8; MS m/z (rel intensities) 132 (M⁺ , 6.1%), 114 (100), 101 (6.7), 96 (41), 87 (20), 71 (100); HRMS m/z 132.1119 (132.1150 calcd for C₇H₁₆O₂, $(M^+).$

Compound 33b (anti): IR (neat) 3348, 2961, 2932, 2872, 1456, 1418, 1377, 1325 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.94 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.10–1.81 (m, 4H), 1.61 (dd, J_1 =5.0 Hz, J_2 =6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (br s, 2H), 3.90–4.01 (m, 1H), 4.08–4.25 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.0, 18.9, 23.5, 39.5, 43.9, 65.5, 69.1; MS m/z (rel intensities) 132 (M⁺, 2.0%), 114 (28), 101 (17), 96 (25), 87 (13), 71 (90); HRMS m/z 132.1141 (132.1150 calcd for $C_7H_{16}O_2$, M⁺).

4.3.3. (2RS,4SR)- and (2RS,4RS)-7-benzyloxyheptane-**2,4-diol** ((\pm) -34a (syn) and (\pm) -34b (*anti*)). Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of (\pm) -24 (2.00 g, 11.6 mmol) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (30 mL) was added a solution of diisopropylamine (6.1 mL, 34.9 mmol) and chloromethylmethylether (1.8 mL, 23.3 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 17 h at room temperature and the reaction was stopped with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) at 0 °C. The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt= $10/1 \rightarrow 7/1 \rightarrow 4/1$) to give (\pm)-2-(2-methoxymethoxypent-4-enyl)-2-methyl-1,3-dioxolane (28) as a colorless oil (2.2 g, 89%); IR (neat) 2934, 1639, 1377, 1146, 1040 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.36 (s, 3H), 1.86 (dd, J_1 =5.0 Hz, J_2 =14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (dd, J_1 =6.0 Hz, J_2 =14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.25–2.48 (m, 2H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.79– 3.87 (m, 1H), $3.89-3.98$ (m, 4H), 4.67 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), $5.02-5.14$ (m, 2H), 5.84 (tdd, J_1 =7.0 Hz, J_2 =10.0 Hz, J_3 =17.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 24.3, 40.1, 43.1, 55.7, 64.3, 64.4,$ 73.6, 95.6, 109.0, 117.3, 134.7.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of (\pm) -28 (1.00 g, 4.63 mol) in THF (15 mL) was added $BH₃$. THF (9.3 mL, 9.26 mol) at 0° C. The mixture was stirred for 40 min and the reaction was quenched with 2 M NaOH (9.3 mL) and H_2O_2 (9.3 mL). After 1 h, the products were extracted with Et₂O (\times 3), and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 1/1$) to give (\pm) -4-methoxymethoxy-5-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1pentanol (29) as a colorless oil (900 mg, 90%); IR (neat) $3476, 2886, 1036$ cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.35 (s, 3H), 1.55–2.05 (m, 7H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.66 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.75–3.85 (m, 1H), 3.86–4.01 (m, 4H), 4.63 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 24.3, 28.1, 32.0, 43.5, 55.7, 62.9,$ 64.3, 64.5, 73.9, 95.5, 108.9.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of NaH (890 mg, 22.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added a solution of (\pm) -29 (2.59 g, 11.1 mmol) in THF (25 mL) and benzyl bromide (1.3 mL, 11.1 mmol) at 0° C. The mixture was stirred for 48 h under reflux and the reaction was stopped with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) at 0° C. The products were extracted with AcOEt $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 10/1$ to give (\pm) -2-(5-benzyloxy-2-methoxymethoxypentyl)-2-methyl-1,3-dioxolane (30) as a colorless oil (3.2 g, 88%); IR (neat) 2932, 2880, 1454, 1375, 1038, 947, 916, 737, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 3H), 1.50–2.05 (m, 6H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.49 (t, $J=6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.70–3.81 (m, 1H), 3.82–4.00 (m, 4H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.62 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.40 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d¼24.3, 25.1, 32.2, 43.6, 55.7, 64.3, 64.4, 70.3, 72.8, 73.8, 95.4, 108.9, 127.4, 127.5, 128.3, 138.6.

To a solution of (\pm) -30 (1.00 g, 3.09 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added a solution of 2 M HCl aq (20 mL) at 0° C. The mixture was stirred for 24 h and the reaction was stopped with 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) at 0° C. The products were extracted with $Et₂O$ (\times 3), and the organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 5/1$) to give (\pm) -7-benzyloxy-4-hydroxy-heptan-2-one (31) as a colorless oil (510 mg, 71%); IR (neat) 3433, 2926, 2859, 1711, 1454, 1362, 1099, 739, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 1.45 - 1.85 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 2.17 \text{ (s, 3H)},$ 2.53–2.63 (m, 2H), 3.29 (br s, 1H), 3.51 (t, $J=6.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.00–4.10 (m, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 7.27–7.35 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 25.9, 30.8, 33.5, 50.1, 67.4,$ 70.2, 73.0, 127.6, 127.7, 128.4, 138.3, 209.7.

Procedure A: according to the procedure for the preparation of 32 described above, (\pm) -31 (500 mg, 2.12 mmol) was

converted to (\pm) -34a (syn) and (\pm) -34b (*anti*) as colorless oils (34a, 252 mg, 50%; 34b, 168 mg, 34%).

Procedure B: according to the procedure for the preparation of 32 described above, (\pm) -31 (315 mg, 1.34 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -34a (syn) and (\pm) -34b (*anti*) as colorless oils (34a, 118 mg, 37%; 34b, 177 mg, 56%).

Compound 34a (syn): IR (neat) 3383, 2928, 2857, 1454, 1406, 1323, 1099, 737, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.18 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.43–1.80 (m, 6H), 3.52 (t, $J=6.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.66 (br s, 2H), 3.80–3.92 (m, 1H), 3.97–4.09 (m, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 7.22–7.39 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 23.0, 25.2, 33.8, 44.8, 68.9,$ 70.0, 72.3, 72.8, 127.6, 127.8, 128.3, 138.5; MS m/z (rel intensities) 238 (M⁺, 4.9%), 179 (9.2), 149 (100), 107 (66), 99 (43), 91 (100); HRMS m/z 238.1570 (238.1569 calcd for $C_{14}H_{22}O_3$, M⁺).

Compound 34b (anti): IR (neat) 3377, 2928, 2857, 1454, 1408, 1312, 1099, 737, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.22 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.50–1.82 (m, 6H), 2.80 (br s, 1H), 3.42 (br s, 1H), 3.46–3.59 (m, 2H), 3.90– 4.00 (m, 1H), 4.08–4.21 (m, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 7.24–7.39 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =23.4, 26.5, 34.9, 44.0, 65.4, 69.2, 70.5, 73.1, 127.7, 127.8, 128.4, 137.9; MS m/z (rel intensities) 238 (M⁺, 7.7%), 179 (79), 147 (21), 131 (77), 107 (100), 91 (100); HRMS m/z 238.1572 $(238.1569 \text{ calcd for } C_{14}H_{22}O_3, M^+).$

4.4. Preparation of five-membered cyclic carbonates

4.4.1. (4RS,5SR)-4-(3-Benzyloxy)propyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one $((\pm)$ -4a (cis)). Under an argon atmosphere, pyridine (7.9 g, 0.10 mmol) was added to a solution of (\pm)-3a (3.9 g, 17.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at 0 °C, followed by addition of a solution of triphosgene (3.1 g, 10.3 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was then slowly warmed to 0° C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was stopped with a satd NH4Cl aqueous solution and the products were extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (\times 3). The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl $(\times 2)$, brine, satd NaHCO₃ aqueous solution, and brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt=1/1) to give (\pm) -4a as a colorless oil (3.96 g, 92%); IR (neat) 2938, 2859, 1798, 1717, 1452, 1368, 1184, 1074, 743 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 1.36$ (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.66–1.90 (m, 4H), 3.48–3.53 (m, 1H), 3.53–3.60 (m, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.65 (ddd, J_1 =4.0 Hz, J_2 =5.5 Hz, J_3 =7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dq, $J_1 = J_2 = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.5, 25.9, 69.1, 72.9, 75.9, 79.7, 127.6, 127.7, 128.4, 138.2, 154.6; MS m/z (rel intensities) 250 (M⁺, 18%), 173 (15), 107 (56), 91 (100); HRMS m/z 250.1187 (250.1205 calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₄, M⁺).

4.4.2. (4RS,5RS)-4-(3-Benzyloxy)propyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one $((\pm)$ -4b (*trans*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -3b (445 mg, 1.99 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -4b (*trans*) as a colorless oil (478 mg, 96%); IR (neat) 2934, 2859, 1798, 1454, 1373, 1186, 1074 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.43 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.59–1.87 (m, 4H), 3.45–3.60 (m, 2H), 4.22 (ddd, $J_1=2.0$ Hz, $J_2=6.5$ Hz, $J_3=12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.38 (dq, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 7.27–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =19.5, 25.1, 30.2, 69.1, 73.0, 78.4, 83.3, 127.6, 127.7, 128.4, 138.1, 154.5; MS m/z (rel intensities) 250 (M+, 28%), 173 (19), 71 (22), 43 (100); HRMS m/z 250.1200 (250.1205 calcd for $C_7H_{12}O_3$, M⁺).

4.4.3. (4RS,5SR)-4-Butyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one $((\pm)$ -11a (*cis*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 4a described above, (\pm) -8a (375 mg, 2.84 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -11a (*cis*) as a colorless oil (350 mg, 78%); IR (neat) 2959, 1788, 1462, 1371, 1190, 1070, 777 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.93 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.30– 1.42 (m, 3H), 1.36 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.49–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.78 (m, 1H), 4.64 (ddd, $J_1=3.5$ Hz, $J_2=7.0$ Hz, $J_3=10.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.84 (qd, $J_1=J_2=7.0$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 13.8, 14.5, 22.3, 28.4, 75.9, 79.9,$ 154.7; MS m/z (rel intensities) 159 (M⁺+H, 4.5%), 101 (46), 85 (100), 72 (100), 57 (100); HRMS m/z 159.1046 $(159.1021 \text{ calcd for } C_8H_{15}O_3, M^+ + H).$

4.4.4. (4RS,5RS)-4-Butyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one $((\pm)$ -11b (*trans*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -8b $(1.07 \text{ g}, 8.10 \text{ mmol})$ was converted to (\pm) -11b (*trans*) as a colorless oil (1.09 g, 85%); IR (neat) 2959, 2934, 2872, 1798, 1454, 1377, 1188, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.93 (t, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.31–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.46 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.60–1.83 (m, 2H), 4.19 (dt, $J_1 = 5.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.38 (dq, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.5$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =13.8, 19.1, 22.3, 26.7, 32.8, 78.4, 83.5, 154.6; MS m/z (rel intensities) 159 (M⁺+H, 2.0%), 101 (17), 85 (36), 71 (61), 57 (100); HRMS m/z 159.0966 (159.1021 calcd for $C_8H_{15}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.4.5. (4RS,5SR)-4-Methyl-5-pentyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one $((\pm)$ -12a (*cis*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 4a described above, (\pm) -9a (2.08 g, 18.6 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -12a (*cis*) as a colorless oil (1.63 g, 60%); IR (neat) 2957, 2932, 2860, 1798, 1466, 1370, 1186, 1071 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.91 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.23-1.45 (m, 5H), 1.36 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.45–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.80 (m, 1H), 4.63 (ddd, $J_1=3.5$ Hz, $J_2=7.0$ Hz, $J_3=10.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dq, $J_1=J_2=7.0$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta=13.9$, 14.5, 22.4, 25.2, 28.8, 31.4, 75.9, 79.9, 154.6; MS m/z (rel intensities) 173 (M⁺+H, 3.9%), 157 (4.8), 129 (13), 110 (21), 99 (78), 85 (68); HRMS m/z 173.1174 (173.1178 calcd for $C_9H_{17}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.4.6. (4RS,5SR)-4-Heptyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one $((\pm)$ -13a (*cis*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -10a (418 mg, 2.01 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -13a (*cis*) as a colorless oil (405 mg, 97%); IR (neat) 2953, 2928, 2857, 1798, 1370, 1180, 1072 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.89 (t, $J=6.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.24–1.44 (m, 9H), 1.36 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.45–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.81 (m, 1H), 4.63 (ddd, J_1 = 3.5 Hz, $J_2=6.5$ Hz, $J_3=10.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dq, $J_1=J_2=$ 6.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.0, 14.5, 22.6, 25.6, 28.8, 29.0, 29.2, 31.7, 75.9, 79.9, 154.7; MS m/z (rel intensities) 201 (M⁺+H, 2.1%), 156 (1.9), 138

(29), 127 (33), 113 (17), 99 (11); HRMS m/z 201.1490 (201.1491 calcd for $C_{11}H_{21}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.4.7. (4RS,5SR)-4-(3-Butenyl)-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2 one $((\pm)$ -19a (cis)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -18a (325 mg, 2.50 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -19a (*cis*) as a colorless oil (200 mg, 51%); IR (neat) 2982, 2926, 2853, 1798, 1370, 1186, 1074, 916 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.37 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.55–1.69 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.93 (m, 1H), 2.07–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.24–2.75 (m, 1H), 4.61–4.73 (m, 1H), 4.84 (dq, $J_1 = J_2 = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.00–5.18 (m, 2H), 5.80 (tdd, $J_1=6.5$ Hz, $J_2=10.5$ Hz, $J_3=17.0$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =14.5, 28.1, 29.5, 75.8, 78.9, 116.4, 136.2, 154.5; MS m/z (rel intensities) 156 (M⁺ , 13%), 114 (16), 112 (6.8), 97 (39), 85 (12), 84 (51); HRMS m/z 156.0736 (156.0787 calcd for $C_8H_{12}O_3$, M⁺).

4.5. Preparation of six-membered cyclic carbonates

4.5.1. (4RS,6SR)-4-Allyl-6-methyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one ((±)- 35a (cis)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -32a (100 mg, 0.77 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -35a (*cis*) as a colorless oil (93.2 mg, 78%); IR (neat) 2980, 2936, 1748, 1643, 1400, 1248, 1229, 1117 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.42 (d, $J=6.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.64 (td, $J_1=12.0$ Hz, $J_2=14.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.07 (td, J_1 =3.0 Hz, J_2 =14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.45 (m, 1H), 2.49–2.56 (m, 1H), 4.48 (ddt, J_1 =3.0 Hz, J_2 = J_3 =6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (ddq, $J_1=3.0$ Hz, $J_2=6.0$ Hz, $J_3=11.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.12–5.22 (m, 2H), 5.76–5.84 (m, 1H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 21.1, 33.9, 75.1, 77.9, 119.4, 131.2,$ 149.1; MS m/z (rel intensities) 157 (M⁺+H, 3.7%), 141 (3.2), 129 (4.4), 112 (5.0), 97 (15), 87 (8.8); HRMS m/z 157.0864 (157.0865 calcd for $C_8H_{13}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.5.2. (4RS,6RS)-4-Allyl-6-methyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one ((±)- 35b (anti)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -32b (403 mg, 3.10 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -35b (*anti*) as a colorless oil (265 mg, 55%); IR (neat) 2980, 2938, 1746, 1643, 1387, 1254, 1202, 1119 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.45 (d, $J=6.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.90 (ddd, $J_1=4.5$ Hz, $J_2=6.0$ Hz, $J_3=$ 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (ddd, $J_1=4.5$ Hz, $J_2=7.0$ Hz, $J_3=$ 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.44 (m, 1H), 2.57–2.63 (m, 1H), 4.56–4.61 (m, 1H), 4.68–4.74 (m, 1H), 5.16–5.23 (m, 2H), 5.75–5.84 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =20.8, 31.5, 39.0, 77.0, 77.3, 119.5, 131.5, 149.2; MS m/z (rel intensities) 157 (M⁺+H, 30%), 141 (2.1), 129 (4.4), 112 (11), 97 (26), 84 (13); HRMS m/z 157.0873 (157.0865 calcd for $C_8H_{13}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.5.3. (4RS,6SR)-4-Methyl-6-propyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one $((\pm)$ -36a (*cis*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 4a described above, (\pm) -33a (383 mg, 2.9 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -36a (*cis*) as a colorless oil (139 mg, 30%); IR (neat) 2961, 2936, 2874, 1744, 1400, 1248, 1198, 1115 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.96 (t, J= 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.35–1.83 (m, 6H), 1.42 (d, $J=6.0$ Hz, 3H), 4.30–4.49 (m, 1H), 4.49–4.70 (m, 1H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 13.7, 17.7, 21.2, 34.8, 37.3, 75.1,$ 78.6, 149.4; MS m/z (rel intensities) 159 (M⁺+H, 34%),

143 (2.7), 130 (42), 114 (43), 86 (77), 72 (100); HRMS m/z 159.1022 (159.1021 calcd for $C_8H_{15}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.5.4. (4RS,6RS)-4-Methyl-6-propyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one $((\pm)$ -36b (*anti*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of 4a described above, (\pm) -33b (650 mg, 4.93 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -36b (*anti*) as a colorless oil (323 mg, 42%); IR (neat) 2961, 2938, 2874, 1746, 1387, 1252, 1204, 1134 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =0.96 (t, $J=7.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.41 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.42–1.79 (m, 6H), 4.39–4.49 (m, 1H), 4.49–4.61 (m, 1H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$ $\delta = 13.7, 18.2, 20.8, 32.4, 36.9, 72.7,$ 75.9, 149.4; MS m/z (rel intensities) 159 (M⁺+H, 51%), 129 (6.1), 115 (12), 99 (6.1), 86 (7.1), 71 (100); HRMS m/z 159.1047 (159.1021 calcd for $C_8H_{15}O_3$, M⁺+H).

4.5.5. (4RS,6SR)-4-(3-Benzyloxypropyl)-6-methyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one $((\pm)$ -37a (syn)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -34a (100 mg, 0.42 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -37a (syn) as a colorless oil (87.8 mg, 79%); IR (neat) 2932, 2857, 1746, 1042, 1244, 1194, 1113, 737, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =1.39 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.50–1.90 (m, 4H), 1.98–2.10 (m, 2H), 3.44–3.58 (m, 2H), 4.38–4.58 (m, 2H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 7.24–7.40 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =21.2, 24.7, 32.3, 34.7, 69.4, 72.9, 75.1, 78.6, 127.6, 128.4, 138.3, 149.1; MS m/z (rel intensities) 264 (M⁺, 4.2%), 221 (5.8), 160 (100), 130 (15), 107 (96), 91 (100); HRMS m/z 264.1373 (264.1362 calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}O_4$, M⁺).

4.5.6. (4RS,6RS)-4-(3-Benzyloxy-propyl)-6-methyl-1,3 dioxan-2-one $((\pm)$ -37b (*anti*)). According to the procedure for the preparation of **4a** described above, (\pm) -34b (520 mg, 2.19 mmol) was converted to (\pm) -37b (*anti*) as a colorless oil (437 mg, 76%); IR (neat) 2934, 2859, 1746, 1387, 1252, 1207, 1115, 737, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 1.40 \text{ (d, } J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{ H}), 1.65-2.10$ (m, 6H), 3.44–3.60 (m, 2H), 4.44–4.60 (m, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.62–4.74 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.42 (m, 5H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 20.7, 25.2, 31.9, 32.4, 69.3, 72.7,$ 72.9, 75.9, 127.6, 128.4, 138.2, 149.3; MS m/z (rel intensities) 264 (M⁺ , 6.9%), 173 (6.5), 159 (4.6), 107 (46), 91 (100), 71 (100); HRMS m/z 264.1336 (264.1362 calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}O_4$, M⁺).

4.6. Typical procedure for the hydrolysis of cyclic carbonates with P. diminuta

The basal medium for the microbial reaction consists of glucose (10 g), polypeptone (7 g), and yeast extract (5 g) in $1 L$ of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). A 500-mL Erlenmeyer flask each containing 100 mL of sterilized basal medium was inoculated with a loopful of P. diminuta, and incubated for 48 h at 30 °C. To the broth was added 80 μ L (84 mg) of (\pm) -4a (*cis*) and the cultivation was continued. After 50 mL of acetone was added to the mixture followed by saturation with NaCl and filtration through a Celite pad, the products were extracted with AcOEt, and the organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 5/1$) to afford $(4R,5S)$ -4a $(36.1 \text{ mg}, 43\%; 97\% \text{ ee})$ and $(2R,3S)$ -**3a** (30.1 mg, 40% ; $>99\%$ ee) as colorless oils. The ee of $(2R,3S)$ -3a was determined by HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)-MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm}, \text{ Du}$ Pont Instruments); eluent, $hexane/ACOEt=90/10$; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 30 (2R,3S) and 32 (2S,3R) min. To determine the ee of $(4R,5S)$ -4a, the cyclic carbonate was hydrolyzed with K_2CO_3 to afford the corresponding $(2S,3R)$ -3a. The absolute configuration was confirmed by comparing its optical rotation sign with that of the authentic sample $(2S,3R)$ -3a, and the preparation method is described in the following section.

Enantioselective reactions of the other substrates were carried out by the same procedure. The results were shown in the text. All the spectral data $(^1H$ and ^{13}C NMR, IR, and MS) were in full agreement with those of the racemates. The ee's of diols were determined by HPLC or ¹H NMR analysis. The ee's of cyclic carbonates were determined by similar analyses of the corresponding diols derived from the carbonates with K_2CO_3 . The methods and the conditions are given below:

Compound 3b: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)- MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm}$, Agilent Technologies); eluent, hexane/AcOEt=90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 36 $(2S,3S)$ and 39 $(2R,3R)$ min.

Compound $8a$: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)-MTPA ester. Signals at δ 3.42 (d, $J=1.0$ Hz)+3.50 (d, $J=1.0$ Hz) (CH₃O \times 2, (2S,3R)) and 3.46 (t, $J=1.0$ Hz) (CH₃O \times 2, (2R,3S)).

Compound $9a$: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)-MTPA ester. Signals at δ 3.42 (s)+3.50 (d, $J=1.0$ Hz) (CH₃O \times 2, (2S,3R)) and 3.45 (s)+3.46 (s) $(CH₃O×2, (2R,3S))$. The absolute configuration was confirmed by comparing its optical rotation sign with that reported; (2S,3R)-9a, lit.^{[11](#page-12-0)} [α]_D¹⁸ +22.73 (c 1.10, MeOH).

Compound 10a: ¹H NMR analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)-MTPA ester. Signals at δ 3.42 (d, J=1.0 Hz)+3.50 (s) $(CH_3O \times 2, (2S,3R))$ and 3.45 (d, J=1.0 Hz)+3.46 (s) $(CH_3O \times 2, (2R,3S)).$

Compound 18a: ¹H NMR analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)-MTPA ester. Signals at δ 3.42 (s)+3.49 (s) (CH₃O \times 2, $(2S,3R)$) and 3.45 (s)+3.46 (s) (CH₃O \times 2, (2R,3S)).

Compound 32a: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis- (+)-MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$; eluent, hexane/AcOEt= 90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 16 and 17 min.

Compound 32b: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis- (+)-MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$; eluent, hexane/AcOEt= 90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 14 $(2R,4R)$ and 16 (2S,4S) min.

Compound 33a: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis-(+)- MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$; eluent, hexane/AcOEt= 90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 13.6 and 14.4 min.

Compound 33b: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis- (+)-MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$; eluent, hexane/AcOEt= 90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 13 $(2R,4R)$ and 14 (2S,4S) min.

Compound 34a: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis- (+)-MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$; eluent, hexane/AcOEt= 90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 30 and 32 min.

Compound 34b: HPLC analysis of the corresponding bis- (+)-MTPA ester. Conditions of the HPLC analysis: column, Zorbax-Sil $(0.46 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$; eluent, hexane/AcOEt= 90/10; flow rate, 0.5 mL/min; retention time, 26 $(2R,4R)$ and 32 (2S,4S) min.

4.7. Preparation of the authentic sample (2S,3R)-3a

According to the procedure described above for the preparation of (\pm) -17, $(2S,3R)$ -2- $(4$ -methoxybenzyloxy $)$ -5-hexen-3-ol (39) was prepared from ethyl (S)-lactate in four steps.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of $(2S,3R)$ -39 $(502 \text{ mg}, 2.13 \text{ mmol})$ in CHCl₂ (10 mL) were added 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran (2.0 mL, 1.8 g, 21 mmol) and a catalytic amount of p -TsOH at $0 °C$, and stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with satd $NAHCO₃$ aqueous solution, and the products were extracted with $CHCl₂(x3)$. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt= $10/1 \rightarrow 5/1$) to give (4R,5S)-5-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-4-tetrahydropyranyloxy-1-hexene (40) as a colorless oil (433 mg, 64%). This compound included some impurity, but this was used without further purification.

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of $(2S,3R)$ -40 (400 mg, 1.25 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added $BH_3 \cdot THF$ $(1.25 \text{ mL}, 2.0 \text{ M} \text{ in } THF)$ at 0° C and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with a drop of water, followed by the addition of 2 M NaOH aqueous solution (2.5 mL) and 35% H_2O_2 (2.5 mL), and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After the mixture was saturated with NaCl, products were extracted with $Et₂O$ $(\times 3)$, and the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $AcOE = 1/1 \rightarrow AcOE$ to give (4R,5S)-5-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-4-tetrahydropyranyloxy-1-hexanol (41) as a colorless oil (262 mg, 62%).

According to the procedure for the preparation of (Z) -2a described above, $(4R,5S)$ -41 (329 mg, 0.973 mmol) was converted to (4R,5S)-1-benzyloxy-5-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-4-tetrahydropyranyloxyhexane (42, 337 mg, 81%) as a colorless oil.

According to the procedure for the preparation of (\pm) -18a described above, $(4R,5S)$ -42 $(259 \text{ mg}, 0.605 \text{ mmol})$ was converted to $(2S,3R)$ -3a $(51.6 \text{ mg}, 38\%)$ as a colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +12.9 (c 1.34, MeOH). All the spectral data (¹H and

 13 C NMR, IR, and MS) of (2S, 3R)-3a were in full agreement with those of (\pm) -3a.

4.8. Preparation of the authentic sample (2S,3S)-3b

Under an argon atmosphere, to a solution of $(2S,3R)$ -24 (400 mg, 1.25 mmol) in t-BuOH (5 mL)/H₂O (5 mL) mixed solvent was added AD-mix- α (1.4 g), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. After the mixture changed to a biphasic clear solution, to the solution were added CH₃SO₂NH₂ (95 mg) and (E)-2b (190 mg, 1.0 mmol) at 0° C, and stirred overnight at room temperature. After addition of $NaS₂O₄$ and stirring for 30 min, the products were extracted with AcOEt $(x4)$, and the organic layer was washed with 2 M NaOH aqueous solution $(\times 2)$. After the organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/AcOEt= $1/1$) to give (2S,3S)-3b as a colorless oil (148 mg, 66%); $[\alpha]_D^{27}$ -13.8 (c 1.16, MeOH); $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -8.0 (c 1.87, CHCl₃). All the spectral data $(^{1}H$ and ^{13}C NMR, IR, and MS) of $(2S,3S)$ -3b were in full agreement with those of (\pm) -3b.

Acknowledgements

M.S. was supported by a grant from Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for Young Scientists. We thank Material Science Research Center (Meisei university) for NMR analysis.

References and notes

- 1. (a) Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y. L.; Crispino, G. A.; Hartung, J.; Jeong, K.-S.; Kwong, H.-L.; Morikawa, K.; Wang, Z.-M.; Xu, D.; Zhang, X.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2768–2771; (b) Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483–2547.
- 2. Wang, L.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7568– 7570.
- 3. For representative examples, see: (a) Caron, G.; Kazlauskas, R. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1995–2000; (b) Bisht, K. S.; Parmar, V. S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 957-958; (c) Kim, M.-J.; Choi, G.-B.; Kim, J.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6253–6256; (d) Liu, R.; Hogberg, H.-E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 771–778.
- 4. (a) Barton, P.; Page, M. I. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 7731–7734; (b) Kawashima, M.; Horikawa, Y. Biotechnol. Lett. 1993, 15, 1039–1042; (c) Kojima, T.; Ando, T. JP07031497; Chem. Abstr. 1995, 122, 263703.
- 5. (a) Matsumoto, K.; Fuwa, S.; Kitajima, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6499–6502; (b) Matsumoto, K.; Fuwa, S.; Shimojo, M.; Kitajima, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1996, 69, 2977–2987; (c) Matsumoto, K.; Shimojo, M.; Kitajima, H.; Hatanaka, M. Synlett 1996, 1085–1086; (d) Matsumoto, K.; Shimojo, M.; Hatanaka, M. Chem. Lett. 1997, 1151–1152; (e) Shimojo, M.; Matsumoto, K.; Hatanaka, M. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 9281– 9288; (f) Matsumoto, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Shimojo, M.; Hatanaka, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6933–6936.
- 6. (a) Matsumoto, K.; Sato, Y.; Shimojo, M.; Hatanaka, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 1965–1973; (b) Nogawa, M.; Shimojo, M.; Matsumoto, K.; Ohta, H.; Hatanaka, M. Heterocycles 2006, 68, 1329–1334.
- 7. Chen, C.-S.; Fujimoto, Y.; Girdaukas, G.; Sih, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7294–7299.
- 8. Itoh, T.; Yoshinaka, A.; Sato, T.; Fujisawa, T. Chem. Lett. 1985, 1679–1680.
- 9. Yamazaki, T.; Kuboki, A.; Ohta, H.; Mitzel, T. S.; Paquette, L. A.; Sugai, T. Synth. Commun. 2000, 30, 3061–3072.
- 10. The plural hydrolytic enzymes could probably present in the microorganisms. However, in all cases, the enantioselectivities could be explained by the participation of a single enzyme. Furthermore, we already tried to purify the active enzyme and the data showed that a single enzyme could catalyze the enantioselective hydrolysis of the cyclic carbonates, although the purification have not completely finished. 12
- 11. Kawabata, J.; Tahara, S.; Mizutani, J. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1978, 42, 89–94.
- 12. Kondo, D.; Uchida, H.; Matsumoto, K.; Shimojo, M.; Hatanaka, M.; Uwajima, T. Abstracts of Papers, 5th Japanese Symposium on the Chemistry of Biocatalysis, Okayama, Japan, Dec 13–14, 2001; 2P-25.